

**KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC**  
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203)  
ak@kazlg.com  
David J. McGlothlin, Esq. (SBN: 253265)  
david@kazlg.com  
Mona Amini, Esq. (SBN: 296829)  
mona@kazlg.com  
245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1  
Costa Mesa, California 92626  
Telephone: (800) 400-6808  
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
Brett Garrote

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

BRETT GARROTE, individually an on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TRISTAR INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

Defendant(s).

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR  
VIOLATIONS OF:**

1. CALIFORNIA CONSUMER PRIVACY ACT OF 2018, CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1798.100, *et seq.*;
2. CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17200, *et. seq.*;
3. CALIFORNIA CUSTOMER RECORDS ACT. CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1798.80, *et seq.*;
4. NEGLIGENCE;
5. NEGLIGENCE *PER SE*;
6. INVASION OF PRIVACY;
7. BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT; and
8. BREACH OF CONTRACT

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

//  
//  
//  
//

## INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff BRETT GARROTE (hereinafter “Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the “Class members”), by and through their attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to facts pertaining to himself and on information and belief as to all other matters, bring this class action 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) against TRISTAR INSURANCE GROUP, INC. (hereinafter “Defendant”), and allege as follows:

## NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is a data breach class action arising out of Defendant’s and their related entities, subsidiaries, and agents’ failure to implement and maintain reasonable security practices to protect consumers’ sensitive personal information that Defendant collected and maintained from Plaintiff and the Class members. Defendant further failed to provide timely and adequate notice to Plaintiff and other Class members that their information had been stolen. Defendant is among the nation’s leading resources for workers’ compensation, property and casualty programs, and risk control<sup>1</sup> that manages more than 350 alternatively funded entities in both the private and public insurance segments.<sup>2</sup> For their business purposes, Defendant obtains, stores, and transmits a substantial amount of personally identifiable information (“PII”) from individuals,<sup>3</sup> like Plaintiff, in their servers and/or networks, including but not limited to their name, date of birth, and Social Security Number.

2. On or about February 1, 2024, data breach notice letters were issued by or on behalf of Defendant announcing that on or about November 10, 2022, Defendant became aware of suspicious activity on certain computer systems.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.tristarrisk.com/#>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.tristargroup.net/about-us>

<sup>3</sup> Personally identifiable information generally incorporates information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual’s identity, either alone or when combined with other personal or identifying information. 2 C.F.R. § 200.79. At a minimum, it includes all information that on its face expressly identifies an individual.



1 Defendant launched an investigation with the assistance of third-party forensic  
2 specialists who determine that an unknown unauthorized party gained access to  
3 Defendant email environment beginning on November 4, 2022, and subsequent  
4 unauthorized access to certain systems containing consumer data. which contained  
5 Plaintiff's sensitive personal information (the "Data Breach"). Defendant's notice  
6 letter confirmed that Defendant investigated and determined that Plaintiff's personal  
7 information was contained in the database files accessed, exfiltrated, or acquired by  
8 unauthorized persons in the Data Breach. Defendant's notice letter also informed  
9 Plaintiff and other similarly situated Class members that the database files impacted  
10 by the Data Breach included their PII, including name, date of birth, and Social  
11 Security Number.

12 3. Defendant's data breach notice letter lacked details or information  
13 necessary for Plaintiff and Class members to understand the scope and severity of the  
14 Data Breach. Further, due to the nearly fifteen (15) month lapse in time between the  
15 Data Breach and Defendant's notice to Plaintiff and other affected individuals,  
16 unauthorized third parties who accessed and procured their PII had already been able  
17 to acquire and sell Plaintiff's and the Class members' PII on the black market or dark  
18 web, or otherwise fraudulently misuse their PII for nefarious purposes or personal  
19 gain. Although the exact number of affected customers is presently unknown, based  
20 upon information and belief at least 35,120 customers have been affected by the Data  
21 Breach nationwide.

22 4. Defendant owed Plaintiff and Class members a duty to implement and  
23 maintain reasonable and adequate security measures to secure, protect, and safeguard  
24 the PII it collected and maintained for business purposes and stored on its servers,  
25 databases, and/or networks.

26 5. Defendant breached their duty by, *inter alia*, failing to implement and  
27 maintain reasonable security procedures and practices to protect PII from  
28 unauthorized access and storing and retaining Plaintiff's and Class members'



1 personal information on inadequately protected servers, databases, and/or networks.

2 6. The Data Breach happened because by Defendant intentionally,  
3 willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to implement adequate cybersecurity,  
4 which caused Plaintiff's and Class members' PII to be accessed and acquired by  
5 unauthorized persons. Plaintiff and Class members have suffered injury as a result of  
6 Defendant's conduct. These injuries include: (i) lost or diminished value of PII; (ii)  
7 out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from  
8 identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (iii) lost opportunity  
9 costs associated with attempting to mitigate the consequences of the Data Breach,  
10 including but not limited to lost time; (iv) the disclosure of their PII; and (v) the  
11 present, continued, and certainly increased risk to their PII, which:  
12 (a) remains unencrypted and available for unauthorized third parties to access and  
13 abuse; and (b) may remain backed up in Defendant's possession and is subject to  
14 further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate  
15 and adequate measures to protect the PII.

16 7. This action seeks to remedy these failings. Plaintiff brings this action on  
17 behalf of themselves individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons  
18 affected by the Data Breach.

### 19 VENUE AND JURISDICTION

20 8. This Court has subject matter of this action under the Class Action  
21 Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million,  
22 exclusive of interest and costs, and there are more than 100 members in the proposed  
23 Class, and at least one member of the Class is a citizen of a state different from  
24 Defendant.

25 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant  
26 regularly conduct business in California and maintain offices, a headquarters, and/or  
27 principal place of business in California.

28 10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a

substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims and damages occurred in, was directed to, and/or emanated from this District.

### **PARTIES**

11. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of San Mateo County, in the State of California.

12. Plaintiff is a consumer who provided their personal information and PII to Defendant. Plaintiff has had their personal information and PII collected, stored, and/or maintained by Defendant since prior to November 10, 2022.

13. Plaintiff received a data breach notice letter dated February 1, 2024, and addressed to them from Defendant entitled "Notice of Data Breach." The letter indicated that Plaintiff's PII, including their name, date of birth, and Social Security number, at minimum, was improperly accessed, and acquired by unauthorized third parties through the Data Breach.

14. As a result of Defendant's failure to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the personal information it collected and maintained, Plaintiff's PII was accessed, exfiltrated, viewed, stolen and/or disclosed to unauthorized persons in the Data Breach.

15. Defendant TRISTAR Insurance Group, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business and/or headquarters located at 100 Oceangate, Suite 840 Long Beach, California 90802, within this judicial district. Defendant at all relevant times conducted business in the State of California.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### ***PII Is a Valuable Property Right that Must Be Protected***

16. The California Constitution guarantees every Californian a right to privacy. And PII is a recognized valuable property right.<sup>4</sup> California has repeatedly

<sup>4</sup> See John T. Soma, et al., *Corporate Privacy Trend: The "Value" of Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") Equals the "Value" of Financial Assets*, 15 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 11, at \*2 (2009) ("PII, which companies obtain at little cost, has

1 recognized this property right, most recently with the passage of the California  
2 Consumer Privacy Act of 2018.

3 17. In a Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) roundtable presentation, former  
4 Commissioner, Pamela Jones Harbour, underscored the property value attributed to  
5 PII by observing:

6 Most consumers cannot begin to comprehend the types and  
7 amount of information collected by businesses, or why their  
8 information may be commercially valuable. Data is currency.  
The larger the data set, the greater potential for analysis – and  
profit.<sup>5</sup>

9 18. The value of PII as a commodity is measurable. “PII, which companies  
10 obtain at little cost, has quantifiable value that is rapidly reaching a level comparable  
11 to the value of traditional financial assets.”<sup>6</sup> It is so valuable to identity thieves that  
12 once PII has been disclosed, criminals often trade it on the “cyber black-market” for  
13 several years.

14 19. Companies recognize PII as an extremely valuable commodity akin to a  
15 form of personal property. For example, Symantec Corporation’s Norton brand has  
16 created a software application that values a person’s identity on the black market.<sup>7</sup>

17 20. As a result of its real value and the recent large-scale data breaches,  
18 identity thieves and cyber criminals openly post credit card numbers, Social Security  
19 numbers, PII and other sensitive information directly on various illicit Internet  
20 websites making the information publicly available for other criminals to take and  
21 use. This information from various breaches, including the information exposed in  
22 the Data Breach, can be aggregated and become more valuable to thieves and more  
23

24  
25 quantifiable value that is rapidly reaching a level comparable to the value of  
traditional financial assets.”) (citations omitted).

26 <sup>5</sup> FTC, *Statement of FTC Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour* (Remarks  
Before FTC Exploring Privacy Roundtable) (Dec. 7, 2009),  
27 [https://www.ftc.gov/public-statements/2009/12/remarks-ftc-exploring-privacy-  
roundtable](https://www.ftc.gov/public-statements/2009/12/remarks-ftc-exploring-privacy-roundtable).

28 <sup>6</sup> See Soma, *Corporate Privacy Trend*, *supra*.

<sup>7</sup> Risk Assessment Tool, Norton 2010,  
[www.everyclickmatters.com/victim/assessment-tool.html](http://www.everyclickmatters.com/victim/assessment-tool.html).





1 damaging to victims. In one study, researchers found hundreds of websites displaying  
2 stolen PII and other sensitive information. Strikingly, none of these websites were  
3 blocked by Google’s safeguard filtering mechanism – the “Safe Browsing list.”

4 21. Recognizing the high value that consumers place on their PII, some  
5 companies now offer consumers an opportunity to sell this information to advertisers  
6 and other third parties. The idea is to give consumers more power and control over  
7 the type of information they share – and who ultimately receives that information. By  
8 making the transaction transparent, consumers will make a profit from the surrender  
9 of their PII.<sup>8</sup> This business has created a new market for the sale and purchase of this  
10 valuable data.<sup>9</sup>

11 22. Consumers place a high value not only on their PII, but also on the  
12 privacy of that data. Researchers shed light on how much consumers value their data  
13 privacy – and the amount is considerable. Indeed, studies confirm that “when privacy  
14 information is made more salient and accessible, some consumers are willing to pay a  
15 premium to purchase from privacy protective websites.”<sup>10</sup>

16 23. One study on website privacy determined that U.S. consumers valued  
17 the restriction of improper access to their PII between \$11.33 and \$16.58 per  
18 website.<sup>11</sup>

19 24. Given these facts, any company that transacts business with a consumer  
20 and then compromises the privacy of consumers’ PII has thus deprived that consumer  
21 of the full monetary value of the consumer’s transaction with the company.

22  
23 <sup>8</sup> Steve Lohr, *You Want My Personal Data? Reward Me for It*, N.Y. Times (July  
24 16, 2010) available at [https://www.nytimes.com/2010/07/18/business/](https://www.nytimes.com/2010/07/18/business/18unboxed.html)  
18unboxed.html.

25 <sup>9</sup> See Julia Angwin and Emil Steel, *Web’s Hot New Commodity: Privacy*, Wall  
26 Street Journal (Feb. 28, 2011) available at  
<https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052748703529004576160764037920274>.

27 <sup>10</sup> Janice Y. Tsai, et al., *The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing*  
28 *Behavior, An Experimental Study Information Systems Research* 22(2) 254, 254  
(June 2011), available at <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23015560?seq=1#>

<sup>11</sup> II–Horn, Hann, et al., *The Value of Online Information Privacy: An Empirical*  
*Investigation* (Mar. 2003) at table 3, available at  
<https://ideas.repec.org/p/wpa/wuwpio/0304001.html> (emphasis added).

***Theft of PII Has Grave and Lasting Consequences for Victims***

25. A data breach is an incident in which sensitive, protected, or confidential data has potentially been viewed, stolen, or used by an individual unauthorized to do so. As more consumers rely on the internet and apps on their phone and other devices to conduct every-day transactions, data breaches are becoming increasingly more harmful.

26. Theft or breach of PII is serious. The California Attorney General recognizes that “[f]oundational” to every Californian’s constitutional right to privacy is “information security: if companies collect consumers’ personal data, they have a duty to secure it. An organization cannot protect people’s privacy without being able to secure their data from unauthorized access.”<sup>12</sup>

27. The United States Government Accountability Office noted in a June 2007 report on Data Breaches (“GAO Report”) that identity thieves use PII to take over existing financial accounts, open new financial accounts, receive government benefits and incur charges and credit in a person’s name.<sup>13</sup> As the GAO Report states, this type of identity theft is so harmful because it may take time for the victim to become aware of the theft and can adversely impact the victim’s credit rating.

28. In addition, the GAO Report states that victims of identity theft will face “substantial costs and inconveniences repairing damage to their credit records ... [and their] good name.” According to the FTC, identity theft victims must spend countless hours and large amounts of money repairing the impact to their good name and credit record.<sup>14</sup>

29. Identity thieves use personal information for a variety of crimes,

<sup>12</sup> California Data Breach Report, Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General, California Department of Justice, February 2016.

<sup>13</sup> See GAO, GAO Report 9 (2007) *available at* <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf>.

<sup>14</sup> See FTC Identity Theft Website: <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/features/feature-0014-identity-theft>.





1 including credit card fraud, phone or utilities fraud, and bank/finance fraud.<sup>15</sup>  
 2 According to Experian, “[t]he research shows that personal information is valuable to  
 3 identity thieves, and if they can get access to it, they will use it” to among other  
 4 things: open a new credit card or loan; change a billing address so the victim no  
 5 longer receives bills; open new utilities; obtain a mobile phone; open a bank account  
 6 and write bad checks; use a debit card number to withdraw funds; obtain a new  
 7 driver’s license or ID; use the victim’s information in the event of arrest or court  
 8 action.<sup>16</sup>

9 30. Social Security numbers, for example, are among the worst kind of  
 10 personal information to have stolen because they may be put to a variety of fraudulent  
 11 uses and are difficult for an individual to change. The Social Security Administration  
 12 stresses that the loss of an individual’s Social Security number, as is the case here,  
 13 can lead to identity theft and extensive financial fraud:

14 A dishonest person who has your Social Security number can  
 15 use it to get other personal information about you. Identity  
 16 thieves can use your number and your good credit to apply for  
 17 more credit in your name. Then, they use the credit cards and  
 18 don’t pay the bills, it damages your credit. You may not find  
 19 out that someone is using your number until you’re turned  
 20 down for credit, or you begin to get calls from unknown  
 21 creditors demanding payment for items you never bought.  
 22 Someone illegally using your Social Security number and  
 23 assuming your identity can cause a lot of problems.<sup>17</sup>

22 <sup>15</sup> The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using the  
 23 identifying information of another person without authority.” 16 C.F.R. § 603.2. The  
 24 FTC describes “identifying information” as “any name or number that may be used,  
 25 alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person,”  
 26 including, among other things, “[n]ame, social security number, date of birth, official  
 27 State or government issued driver’s license or identification number, alien registration  
 28 number, government passport number, employer, or taxpayer identification number.”  
 29 *Id.*

30 <sup>16</sup> See Susan Henson, *What Can Identity Thieves Do with Your Personal  
 31 Information and How Can You Protect Yourself?*, EXPERIAN (Sept. 7, 2017),  
 32 available at [https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/what-can-identity-thieves-  
 33 do-with-your-personal-information-and-how-can-you-protect-yourself/](https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/what-can-identity-thieves-do-with-your-personal-information-and-how-can-you-protect-yourself/).

34 <sup>17</sup> Brian Naylor, *Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It’s Hard to  
 35 Bounce Back*, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), [http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-  
 36 stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millions-worrying-about-identity-theft](http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millions-worrying-about-identity-theft).



31. According to the IBM and Ponemon Institute’s 2019 “Cost of a Data Breach” report, the average cost of a data breach per consumer was \$150 per record.<sup>18</sup> Other estimates have placed the costs even higher. The 2013 Norton Report estimated that the average cost per victim of identity theft – a common result of data breaches – was \$298 dollars.<sup>19</sup> And in 2019, Javelin Strategy & Research compiled consumer complaints from the FTC and indicated that the median out-of-pocket cost to consumers for identity theft was \$375.<sup>20</sup>

32. A person whose PII has been compromised may not see any signs of identity theft for years. According to the GAO Report:

“[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.”

33. For example, in 2012, hackers gained access to LinkedIn’s users’ passwords. However, it was not until May 2016, four years after the breach, that hackers released the stolen email and password combinations.<sup>21</sup>

34. It is within this context that Plaintiff and thousands of similar individuals must now live with the knowledge that their PII is forever in cyberspace, putting them at imminent and continuing risk of damages, and was taken by unauthorized persons willing to use the information for any number of improper purposes and scams, including making the information available for sale on the dark web and/or the black market.

<sup>18</sup> Brook, *What’s the Cost of a Data Breach in 2019*, *supra*.

<sup>19</sup> Norton By Symantec, 2013 Norton Report 8 (2013), *available at* [https://yle.fi/tvuutiset/uuutiset/upics/liitetiedostot/norton\\_raportti.pdf](https://yle.fi/tvuutiset/uuutiset/upics/liitetiedostot/norton_raportti.pdf).

<sup>20</sup> Facts + Statistics: *Identity Theft and Cybercrime*, Insurance Information Institute, *available at* <https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime> (citing the Javelin report).

<sup>21</sup> See Cory Scott, *Protecting Our Members*, LINKEDIN (May 18, 2016), *available at* <https://blog.linkedin.com/2016/05/18/protecting-our-members>.

***Defendant's Collection of PII***

35. Defendant acknowledges that it obtains, stores, and transmits a substantial amount of sensitive personal consumer data. Defendant's Privacy Notice represents that it collects the following categories of personal information:<sup>22</sup>

- Basic personal and demographic information, such as your name, date of birth, age, gender, and marital status.
- Contact information, such as your address, telephone number and email address.
- Unique identifiers, such as identification numbers issued by government bodies or agencies (e.g., your national identifier number or social security number, passport number, ID number, tax identification number, driver's license number).
- Employment information, such as your job title, employer, employment status, salary information, employment benefits, employment history and professional certifications.
- Financial information, such as your bank account numbers, credit card numbers, brokerage account numbers, transaction information, tax information, details of your income, property, assets, investments, pension and benefits, debts, and creditworthiness.
- Policy information, such as our policy number, policy start and end dates, premiums, individual terms, claims history and claims data, mid-term adjustments, reasons for cancellation, risk profile and details of policy coverage.
- Claim information, such as claimant's relationship to policyholder/insured, and the date and particulars of such claim, including causes of death, injury or disability and claim number.
- Commercial information, such as records of your personal property, products or services purchased, obtained, or considered, or other purchasing or consuming histories or tendencies.
- Events or meeting information, such as details about your visits to our offices (including CCTV), your interest in and attendance at events or meetings, audio recordings, photographs or videos captured during meetings, events, or calls with you.
- Special category data and sensitive personal data, such as data relating to your health (including protected health information), genetic or biometric data, sex life, sexual orientation, gender

<sup>22</sup> Chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://tristargroup.net/pdf/CCPA%20Privacy%20Policy%20Statement.pdf

identity, racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs and trade union membership.

- Criminal records information, such as criminal charges or convictions, including driving offences, or confirmation of clean criminal records.
- Professional disciplinary information.
- Personal information received from background checks and sanctions screenings.
- Marketing information, such as your consent to or opt out from receiving marketing communications from us and/or third parties, your marketing preferences, or your interactions with our marketing campaigns and surveys, including whether you open or click links in emails from us or complete our surveys.
- Sites and communication usage information, such as your username, your password, other information collected by visiting our Sites or collected through cookies and other tracking technologies, including your IP address, domain name, your browser version and operating system, traffic data, location data, browsing time, and social media information, such as interactions with our social media presence.

36. In Defendant's separate "California Privacy Notice (CCPA-CPRA)"<sup>23</sup>, Defendant also provide the PI they collect and disclose may include:

- Personal Identifiers such as your name, any alias, your mailing address, telephone number, email address, gender, date of birth, Social Security number, Driver's License number, passport number, your signature, your digital signature, and other similar identifiers;
- Personal information, including employment information, medical and health information, medical exams, reports, tests, procedures, and prescriptions.
- Financial and banking information such as bank account number, credit/debit card number, income and tax information;
- Characteristics of protected class or groups under state or federal law, including birthday, sex, marital status and the like;
- Commercial information, including the purchase of any medical or non-medical equipment relating to your claim;
- Internet or other electronic network activity information, including, but not limited to, IP address, browsing and search

<sup>23</sup> chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.tristargroup.net/pdf/CPR A%20Privacy%20Notice.pdf

1 history, and information regarding a consumer's interaction with  
2 TRISTAR;

- 3 • Geolocation data such as physical location or movements;
- 4 • Audio, electronic, visual, thermal, or similar information such as  
5 voice messages, recorded statements and video evidence;
- 6 • Professional or employment-related information;
- 7 • Education information;
- 8 • Policy information, such as our policy number, policy start and  
9 end dates, premiums, individual terms, claims history and claims  
10 data, mid-term adjustments, reasons for cancellation, risk profile  
11 and details of policy coverage;
- 12 • Claim information, such as claimant's relationship to  
13 policyholder/insured, and the date and particulars of such claim,  
14 including causes of death, injury or disability and claim number;
- 15 • Events or meeting information, such as details about your visits to  
16 our offices (including CCTV), your interest in and attendance at  
17 events or meetings, audio recordings, photographs or videos  
18 captured during meetings, events, or calls with you;
- 19 • Marketing information, such as your consent to or opt-out from  
20 receiving marketing communications from us and/or third parties,  
21 your marketing preferences, or your interactions with our  
22 marketing campaigns and surveys, including whether you open or  
23 click links in emails from us or complete our surveys.
- 24 • Websites and communication usage information, such as your  
25 username, your password, other information collected by visiting  
26 our Websites or collected through cookies and other tracking  
27 technologies, including your IP address, domain name, your  
28 browser version and operating system, traffic data, location data,  
browsing time, and social media information, such as interactions  
with our social media presence.
- Special category data and sensitive personal data, such as data  
relating to your health (including protected health information),  
genetic or biometric data, sexual orientation, gender identity,  
racial or ethnic origin;
- Criminal records information, such as criminal charges or  
convictions, including driving offenses, or confirmation of clean  
criminal records;
- Professional disciplinary information; and
- Inferences drawn from any of the information identified above.





37. Defendant collects personal information from customers directly as well as through third parties such as insurers, consumer reporting agencies, Defendant's affiliated companies, or other third parties in the course of conducting Defendant's business.

38. For California customers, Defendant's Privacy Policy identifies the rights of California residents regarding their personal information pursuant to the California Consumer Privacy Act ("CCPA"). These rights include requesting disclosure of the information collected, the purpose for collecting the information, and any third parties with whom the information is sold or disclosed. Additionally, the rights under the CCPA identified by Defendant's Privacy Policy include requesting deletion of the personal information, opting out of have personal information sold to third parties, and receiving information that identifies any third party that has received personal information.

#### ***Defendant's Promise to Safeguard PII***

39. Defendant represents that they understand the importance of protecting Plaintiff's and the Class members' personal information. For example, in its Privacy Notice, Defendant claim it implements, "a range of organizational and technical security measures to protect your personal data, including:

- Restricted access to those who need to know for the purposes set out in our underlying agreement or this Privacy Notice.
- Firewalls to block unauthorized traffic to servers.
- Physical servers located insecure location and accessible only by authorized personnel.
- Internal procedures governing the storage, access and disclosure of your personal data.
- Additional safeguards as may be required by applicable laws in the jurisdiction where we process your personal data."<sup>24</sup>

<sup>24</sup> Chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://tristargroup.net/pdf/CCPA%20Privacy%20Policy%20Statement.pdf



40. Defendant also promises that in sharing personal data with third parties, they require, “those third parties (where applicable) to maintain a comparable level of protection of personal data as set out in this Privacy Notice by the use of contractual requirements and other means.”<sup>25</sup>

41. Defendant further promises that if personal data must be transferred outside the USA to certain third parties, “transfers of personal data will be subject to appropriate safeguards to ensure an adequate level of protection and compliance with applicable law.”<sup>26</sup>

42. Defendant’s Terms of Use Agreement expressly references Defendant’s Privacy Policy and states the terms and conditions of the Privacy Policy are incorporated into Defendant’s Terms of Use.

### ***The Data Breach***

43. On or about February 1, 2024, data breach notice letters were issued by or on behalf of Defendant announcing that on or about November 10, 2022, Defendant became aware of suspicious activity on certain computer systems. Defendant launched an investigation with the assistance of third-party forensic specialists who determine that an unknown unauthorized party gained access to Defendant email environment beginning on November 4, 2022, and subsequent unauthorized access to certain systems containing consumer data. which contained Plaintiff’s sensitive personal information (the “Data Breach”). Defendant’s notice letter confirmed that Defendant investigated and determined that Plaintiff’s personal information was contained in the database files accessed, exfiltrated, or acquired by unauthorized persons in the Data Breach. Defendant’s notice letter also informed Plaintiff and other similarly situated Class members that the database files impacted by the Data Breach included their PII, including name, date of birth, and Social Security Number.

<sup>25</sup> *Id.*

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

1        44. Defendant's data breach notice letter provided little other information  
 2 regarding the Data Breach itself. For instance, Defendant provided no information  
 3 regarding how exactly the Data Breach occurred, how they identified Plaintiff and  
 4 other affected individuals to send them notice, or how many people were affected by  
 5 the Data Breach.

6        45. Defendant's data breach notice letter is spare on details, explaining only  
 7 that:

8            **What Happened?** On or about November 10, 2022, TRISTAR  
 9 became aware of suspicious activity on certain computer  
 10 systems. We immediately launched an investigation, with the  
 11 assistance of third-party forensic specialists, to determine the  
 12 nature and scope of the activity. Our investigation determined  
 13 that there was unauthorized access to our email environment  
 14 beginning on November 4, 2022, and that the unauthorized  
 15 actor was ultimately able to gain access to certain TRISTAR  
 16 systems beginning on November 9, 2022. Through our  
 17 investigation, we learned that certain information related to our  
 18 customers was potentially exfiltrated from TRISTAR's  
 19 network. TRISTAR therefore undertook a comprehensive and  
 20 time intensive review of potentially impacted files, with the  
 21 assistance of third-party subject matter specialists, and later  
 22 determined that the files contained certain information related  
 23 to you. TRISTAR has seen no evidence of misuse of any  
 24 information related to this event. Additionally, there is no  
 25 evidence that TRISTAR or PROVIDENCE GROUP's claims or  
 26 accounting systems were breached during this incident.

27            **What Information Was Involved?** TRISTAR determined that  
 28 the following information related to you was present within the  
 impacted files: your name, BIRTH\_DATE and SSN.

**What We Are Doing.** Upon discovery, we immediately  
 secured the environment and commenced an investigation to  
 confirm the nature and scope of the event. We reported this  
 event to law enforcement and are cooperating and assisting in  
 their investigation. We also implemented additional technical  
 safeguards, and reviewed policies and procedures relating to  
 data privacy and security.

46. Defendant reported the Data Breach to the Office of the Maine Attorney  
 General indicating that the Data Breach affected a total of 35,120 persons.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>27</sup> *Id.*

1           47. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff has suffered an invasion and loss  
2 of their privacy, Plaintiff has noticed unauthorized use of their PII which Plaintiff  
3 attributes to the Data Breach. Plaintiff has spent time attempting to mitigate the  
4 damages caused by the Data Breach, including monitoring Plaintiff's personal  
5 financial accounts and consumer reports, disputing unauthorized activities and  
6 transaction, which is time that Plaintiff otherwise would have spent performing other  
7 activities or leisurely events for the enjoyment of life rather than feeling stressed,  
8 frustrated, and using their personal time trying to mitigate the impact of the Data  
9 Breach.

10           48. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff is, and will continue to be, at  
11 heightened risk for financial fraud, and/or other forms of identity theft, and the  
12 associated damages resulting from the Data Breach, for years to come.

13                           ***Defendant's Notice of Data Breach***

14           49. Defendant's vague description of the Data Breach leaves Plaintiff and  
15 Class members at continuing risk. By failing to adequately inform Plaintiff and Class  
16 members of all the details surrounding the breach Plaintiff and Class members are  
17 unable to adequately protect themselves against identity theft and other damages.  
18 Further, Defendant offers Plaintiff and Class members little to assist them with any  
19 fall-out from the Data Breach or to advise them of the extent of the potential threat  
20 they face because of their sensitive PII where Plaintiff and Class members are now at  
21 increased risk of identity theft for years to come and the indefinite future as a result of  
22 the Data Breach.

23           50. Defendant also fails to explain why it took over fifteen (15) months from  
24 learning of the ransomware incident in November of 2022 to notify Plaintiff and  
25 Class members about the Data Breach on or about November 10, 2022. This delayed  
26 Plaintiff's and Class members' ability to be fully informed and take necessary  
27 precautions to protect themselves from identity theft and other fraud.

28

***Defendant Knew or Should Have Known PII Are High Risk Targets***

51. Defendant knew or should have known that PII like that at issue here, is a high-risk target for identity thieves.

52. The Identity Theft Resource Center reported that the banking/credit/financial sector had the third largest number of breaches in 2018. According to the ITRC this sector suffered 135 data breaches exposing at least 1,709,013 million records in 2018.<sup>28</sup>

53. Prior to the Data Breach there were many reports of high-profile data breaches that should have put a company like Defendant on high alert and forced it to closely examine its own security procedures, as well as those of third parties with which it did business and gave access to its subscriber PII. Notable breaches included Capital One, which announced that in March 2019 a hacker had gained access to 100 million U.S. customer accounts and credit card applications. Similarly, in May 2019, First American Financial reported a security incident on its website that potentially exposed 885 million real estate and mortgage related documents, among others. Across industries, financial services have the second-highest cost per breached record, behind healthcare. In financial services, an average breach costs \$210 per record, while a “mega breach,” like Capital One’s, can cost up to \$388 per record.<sup>29</sup>

54. Anurag Kahol, CTO of Bitglass recently commented that “[g]iven that organizations in the financial services industry are entrusted with highly valuable, personally identifiable information (PII), they represent an attractive target for cybercriminals[.]” HelpNetSecurity reports that “[h]acking and malware are leading the charge against financial services and the costs associated with breaches are growing. Financial services organizations must get a handle on data breaches and

<sup>28</sup> Identity Theft Resource Center, *2018 End-of-Year Data Breach Report*, available at [https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/ITRC\\_2018-End-of-Year-Aftermath\\_FINAL\\_V2\\_combinedWEB.pdf](https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/ITRC_2018-End-of-Year-Aftermath_FINAL_V2_combinedWEB.pdf).

<sup>29</sup> Samantha Ann Schwartz, *62% of breached data came from financial services in 2019*, CioDive (Dec. 23, 2019), available at <https://www.ciodive.com/news/62-of-breached-data-came-from-financial-services-in-2019/569592/>.

1 adopt a proactive security strategy if they are to properly protect data from an  
2 evolving variety of threats.”<sup>30</sup>

3 55. As such, Defendant were aware that PII is at high risk of theft, and  
4 consequently should have but did not take appropriate and standard measures to  
5 protect Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII against cyber-security attacks that  
6 Defendant should have anticipated and guarded against.

7 ***Defendant Violated the Federal Trade Commission Act***

8 56. Federal and State governments have likewise established security  
9 standards and issued recommendations to prevent and limit the impact of data  
10 breaches and the resulting harm to consumers and financial institutions. The Federal  
11 Trade Commission (“FTC”) has issued numerous guides for business highlighting  
12 the importance of reasonable data security practices. According to the FTC, the need  
13 for data security should be factored into all business decision-making.<sup>31</sup>

14 57. In 2016, the FTC updated its publication, *Protecting Personal*  
15 *Information: A Guide for Business*, which established guidelines for fundamental  
16 data security principles and practices for business.<sup>32</sup> The guidelines note businesses  
17 should protect the personal consumer and consumer information that they keep, as  
18 well as properly dispose of personal information that is no longer needed; encrypt  
19 information stored on computer networks; understand their network’s vulnerabilities;  
20 and implement policies to correct security problems.

21 58. The FTC recommends that companies verify that third-party service  
22 providers have implemented reasonable security measures.<sup>33</sup>

23 59. The FTC recommends that businesses:

24 <sup>30</sup> HelpNetSecurity, *Hacking and malware cause 75% of all data breaches in the*  
25 *financial services industry* (Dec. 17, 2019), available at  
<https://www.helpnetsecurity.com/2019/12/17/data-breaches-financial-services/>.

26 <sup>31</sup> Federal Trade Commission, *Start With Security: A Guide for Business*, available  
27 at: <https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/start-security-guide-business>  
(last visited Nov. 18, 2023).

28 <sup>32</sup> Federal Trade Commission, *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for*  
*Business*, available at: [https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/protecting-](https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/protecting-personal-information-guide-business)  
[personal-information-guide-business](https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/protecting-personal-information-guide-business) (last visited Nov. 18, 2023).

<sup>33</sup> FTC, *Start With Security*, *supra* note 12.

- 1 • Identify all connections to the computers where you store sensitive information.
- 2
- 3 • Assess the vulnerability of each connection to commonly known or reasonably foreseeable attacks.
- 4
- 5 • Do not store sensitive consumer data on any computer with an Internet connection unless it is essential for conducting their business.
- 6
- 7 • Scan computers on their network to identify and profile the operating system and open network services. If services are not needed, they should be disabled to prevent hacks or other potential security problems. For example, if email service or an Internet connection is not necessary on a certain computer, a business should consider closing the ports to those services on that computer to prevent unauthorized access to that machine.
- 8
- 9
- 10 • Pay particular attention to the security of their web applications—the software used to give information to visitors to their websites and to retrieve information from them. Web applications may be particularly vulnerable to a variety of hack attacks.
- 11
- 12
- 13 • Use a firewall to protect their computers from hacker attacks while it is connected to a network, especially the Internet.
- 14
- 15 • Determine whether a border firewall should be installed where the business's network connects to the Internet. A border firewall separates the network from the Internet and may prevent an attacker from gaining access to a computer on the network where sensitive information is stored. Set access controls—settings that determine which devices and traffic get through the firewall—to allow only trusted devices with a legitimate business need to access the network. Since the protection a firewall provides is only as effective as its access controls, they should be reviewed periodically.
- 16
- 17
- 18 • Monitor incoming traffic for signs that someone is trying to hack in. Keep an eye out for activity from new users, multiple log-in attempts from unknown users or computers, and higher-than-average traffic at unusual times of the day.
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 • Monitor outgoing traffic for signs of a data breach. Watch for unexpectedly large amounts of data being transmitted from their system to an unknown user. If large amounts of information are being transmitted from a business' network, the transmission should be investigated to make sure it is authorized.
- 23
- 24

25 60. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing  
 26 to protect consumer data adequately and reasonably, treating the failure to employ  
 27 reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to  
 28 confidential consumer data as an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the



1 Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTCA”), 15 U.S.C. § 45.

2 61. Orders resulting from these actions further clarify the measures  
3 businesses must take to meet their data security obligations.

4 62. Defendant was at all times fully aware of its obligation to protect the  
5 personal and financial data of Plaintiff and Class members. Defendant was also  
6 aware of the significant repercussions when it failed to do so.

7 63. Defendant’s failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to  
8 protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data—including  
9 Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII—constitutes an unfair act or practice prohibited  
10 by Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

11 64. The ramifications of Defendant’s failure to keep secure the PII of  
12 Plaintiff and Class members are long lasting and severe. Once PII is stolen,  
13 particularly Social Security numbers, fraudulent use of that information and damage  
14 to victims may continue for years.

15 ***Plaintiff and Class Members Face a Substantial Risk of Imminent Harm***

16 65. The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using  
17 the identifying information of another person without authority.”<sup>34</sup> The FTC describes  
18 “identifying information” as “any name or number that may be used, alone or in  
19 conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person,” including,  
20 among other things, “[n]ame, Social Security number, date of birth, official State or  
21 government issued driver’s license or identification number, alien registration  
22 number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification  
23 number.”<sup>35</sup>

24 66. Because a person’s identity is akin to a puzzle with multiple data points,  
25 the more accurate pieces of data an identity thief obtains about a person, the easier it  
26 is for the thief to take on the victim’s identity or track the victim to attempt other  
27 hacking crimes against the individual to obtain more data to perfect a crime.

28 <sup>34</sup> 17 C.F.R. § 248.201 (2013).

<sup>35</sup> *Id.*

67. For example, armed with just a name and date of birth, a data thief can utilize a hacking technique referred to as “social engineering” to obtain even more information about a victim’s identity, such as a person’s login credentials and financial account information, or trick victims into paying them their money or disclosing additional confidential or personal information through means such as spam phone calls and text messages or phishing emails. Data Breaches can be the starting point for these additional targeted attacks on the victims.

68. The Social Security Administration explains that:

Identity theft is one of the fastest growing crimes in America. A dishonest person who has your Social Security number can use it to get other personal information about you. Identity thieves can use your number and your good credit to apply for more credit in your name. Then, when they use the credit cards and don’t pay the bills, it damages your credit. You may not find out that someone is using your number until you’re turned down for credit, or you begin to get calls from unknown creditors demanding payment for items you never bought. Someone illegally using your Social Security number and assuming your identity can cause a lot of problems.<sup>36</sup>

69. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

[I]n some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>36</sup> Social Security Administration, *Identity Theft and your Social Security Number*, available at: <https://www.ssa.gov/pubs/EN-05-10064.pdf> (last visited Nov. 19, 2023).

<sup>37</sup> United States Government Accountability Office, Report to Congressional Requesters, *Personal Information, Data Breaches Are Frequent, but Evidence of Resulting Identity Theft Is Limited; However, the Full Extent Is Unknown*, June 2007, p. 29, <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-07-737.pdf> (last visited August 14, 2023).

70. Identity theft is not an easy problem to solve. In a survey, the Identity Theft Resource Center found that most victims of identity crimes need more than a month to resolve issues stemming from identity theft and some need over a year.<sup>38</sup> Victims of the Data Breach, like Plaintiff and Class members, must spend many hours and large amounts of money protecting themselves from the current and future negative impacts to their credit because of the Data Breach.<sup>39</sup>

71. According to the Attorney General of California, “Getting a new social security number is probably not a good idea.”

Victims of identity theft sometimes want to change their Social Security number. The Social Security Administration very rarely allows this. In fact, there are drawbacks to changing your number. It could result in losing your credit history, your academic records, and your professional degrees. The absence of any credit history under the new SSN would make it difficult for you to get credit, rent an apartment, or open a bank account.<sup>40</sup>

72. As a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered, and have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of suffering, harm from fraud and identity theft. Plaintiff and Class members must now expend considerable time and effort and spend the money to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their everyday lives, including purchasing identity theft and credit monitoring services, placing “freezes” and “alerts” with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions, healthcare providers, closing or modifying financial accounts, and closely reviewing and monitoring bank accounts, credit reports, and health

<sup>38</sup> Identity Theft Resource Center, *2021 Consumer Aftermath Report: How Identity Crimes Impact Victims, their Families, Friends, and Workplaces* (2021), available at: [https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/ITRC\\_2021\\_Consumer\\_Aftermath\\_Report.pdf](https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/ITRC_2021_Consumer_Aftermath_Report.pdf) (last visited Nov. 19, 2023).

<sup>39</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Guide for Assisting Identity Theft Victims*, (Sept. 2013), <http://www.global-screeningsolutions.com/Guide-for-Assisting-ID-Theft-Victims.pdf> (last visited Nov. 19, 2023).

<sup>40</sup> State of California Department of Justice, *Your Social Security Number: Controlling the Key to Identity Theft*, available at: <https://oag.ca.gov/idtheft/facts/your-ssn> (last visited Nov. 19, 2023).

1 insurance account information for unauthorized activity for years to come.

2 73. Plaintiff and Class members have suffered, and will continue to suffer,  
3 actual harms for which they are entitled to compensation, including for:

- 4 • Trespass, damage to, and theft of their personal property including PII;
- 5 • Improper disclosure of their PII;
- 6 • The imminent and impending injury flowing from potential fraud and  
7 identity theft posed by their PII being placed in the hands of criminals  
8 and having been already misused;
- 9 • The imminent and certainly impending risk of having their PII used  
10 against them by spammers and phishers to defraud them;
- 11 • Damages flowing from Defendant's untimely and inadequate  
12 notification of the Data Breach;
- 13 • Loss of privacy suffered as a result of the Data Breach;
- 14 • Ascertainable losses in the form of out-of-pocket expenses and the  
15 value of their time reasonably expended to remedy or mitigate the  
16 effects of the Data Breach;
- 17 • Ascertainable losses in the form of deprivation of the value of their  
18 PII for which there is a well-established and quantifiable national and  
19 international market;
- 20 • The loss of use of and access to their credit, accounts, and/or funds;
- 21 • Damage to their credit due to fraudulent use of their PII; and
- 22 • Increased cost of borrowing, insurance, deposits and other items  
23 which are adversely affected by a reduced credit score.

24 74. Moreover, Plaintiff and Class members have an interest in ensuring that  
25 their information, which remains in the possession of Defendant, is protected from  
26 further breaches by the implementation of industry standard and statutorily compliant  
27 security measures and safeguards. To the extent that Defendant's legitimate business  
28 interests no longer warrant retaining their PII, copies of the PII should be destroyed.

75. The injuries to Plaintiff and Class members were, and will continue to  
be, directly and proximately caused by Defendant's failure to implement or maintain  
adequate data security measures for the PII of Plaintiff and Class members.

**CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

76. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 382, and Cal. Civ. Code § 1781, Plaintiff seeks to represent and intends to seek certification on behalf of a “Nationwide Class” and a “California Subclass” (together referred to herein as the “Class”) defined as:

**Nationwide Class**

All persons within the United States whose personally identifiable information (“PII”) was subjected to the Data Breach in November 2022, including all persons who received Defendant’s notice of the Data Breach.

**California Subclass**

All persons residing within the State of California whose personally identifiable information (“PII”) was subjected to the Data Breach in November 2022, , including all persons who received Defendant’s notice of the Data Breach.

77. Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendant and their respective officers, directors, employees, principals, affiliated entities, controlling entities, agents, and other affiliates; (2) the agents, affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, attorneys at law, attorneys in fact, or assignees of such persons or entities described herein; and (3) the Judge(s) assigned to this case and any members of their immediate families.

78. Certification of Plaintiff’s claims for class wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of their claims on a class wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

79. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed classes as appropriate.

80. Under Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1), the Class members are so numerous and geographically dispersed throughout California that joinder of all Class members would be impracticable. While the exact number of Class members is unknown, based on information and belief, the Class consists of tens of thousands of individuals, including Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff therefore believe that the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.

1           81. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3), Plaintiff's claims are typical of the  
2 claims of the Class. Plaintiff, like all proposed members of the Class, had their PII  
3 compromised in the Data Breach. Plaintiff and Class members were injured by the  
4 same wrongful acts, practices, and omissions committed by Defendant, as described  
5 herein. Plaintiff's claims therefore arise from the same practices or course of conduct  
6 that give rise to the claims of all Class members.

7           82. As brought under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3), there is a well-  
8 defined community of interest in the common questions of law and fact affecting  
9 Class members. The questions of law and fact common to Class members  
10 predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members, and include  
11 without limitation:

- 12           (a) Whether Defendant had a duty to implement and maintain reasonable  
13               security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the PII  
14               it collected, stored, and maintained from Plaintiff and Class members;
- 15           (b) Whether the Defendant's security systems and procedures complied  
16               with the applicable federal and state laws and regulations;
- 17           (c) Whether Defendant failed to adequately safeguard the PII of Plaintiff  
18               and Class members;
- 19           (d) Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities  
20               which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
- 21           (e) Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive  
22               practices by failing to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class  
23               members;
- 24           (f) Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed  
25               Plaintiff and Class members that their PII had been stolen;
- 26           (g) Whether Defendant violated the law by failing to promptly notify  
27               Plaintiff and Class members that their PII had been compromised;
- 28           (h) Whether an implied contract existed between Defendant on the one



1 hand, and Plaintiff and Class members on the other, and the terms of  
2 that implied contract;

3 (i) Whether Defendant breached the implied contract;

4 (j) Whether Defendant breached their duty to protect the PII of Plaintiff  
5 and each Class member; and

6 (k) Whether Plaintiff and each Class member are entitled to damages and  
7 other equitable relief.

8 83. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class  
9 members. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class in that Plaintiff have no  
10 interests adverse to or that conflicts with the Class Plaintiff seeks to represent.  
11 Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience and success in the  
12 prosecution of complex consumer protection and consumer privacy class actions of  
13 this nature.

14 84. A class action is superior to any other available method for the fair and  
15 efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all Class  
16 members is impractical. Furthermore, the expenses and burden of individual litigation  
17 would make it difficult or impossible for the individual members of the Class to  
18 redress the wrongs done to them, especially given that the damages or injuries  
19 suffered by each individual member of the Class are outweighed by the costs of suit.  
20 Even if the Class members could afford individualized litigation, the cost to the court  
21 system would be substantial and individual actions would also present the potential  
22 for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. By contrast, a class action presents fewer  
23 management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication and  
24 comprehensive supervision by a single court.

25 85. Defendant have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable  
26 to the entire Class, thereby making it appropriate for this Court to grant final  
27 injunctive, including public injunctive relief, and declaratory relief with respect to the  
28 Class as a whole.

**CAUSES OF ACTION**

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Violation of the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (“CCPA”)  
Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1798.100, *et seq.*  
(On Behalf of the Plaintiff and the California Subclass)**

86. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

87. As more personal information about consumers is collected by businesses, consumers’ ability to properly protect and safeguard their privacy has decreased. Consumers entrust businesses with their personal information on the understanding that businesses will adequately protect it from unauthorized access. The California Legislature explained: “The unauthorized disclosure of personal information and the loss of privacy can have devastating effects for individuals, ranging from financial fraud, identity theft, and unnecessary costs to personal time and finances, to destruction of property, harassment, reputational damage, emotional stress, and even potential physical harm.”<sup>41</sup>

88. As a result, in 2018, the California Legislature passed the CCPA, giving consumers broad protections and rights intended to safeguard their personal information. Among other things, the CCPA imposes an affirmative duty on businesses that maintain personal information about California residents to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices that are appropriate to the nature of the information collected. Defendant failed to implement such procedures which resulted in the Data Breach.

89. It also requires “[a] business that discloses personal information about a California resident pursuant to a contract with a nonaffiliated third party . . . [to] require by contract that the third party implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information, to protect the

<sup>41</sup> California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) Compliance, <https://buyergenomics.com/ccpa-compliance/>.

1 personal information from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or  
2 disclosure.” 1798.81.5(c).

3 90. Section 1798.150(a)(1) of the CCPA provides: “Any consumer whose  
4 nonencrypted or nonredacted personal information, as defined [by the CCPA] is  
5 subject to an unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of  
6 the business’ violation of the duty to implement and maintain reasonable security  
7 procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information to protect the  
8 personal information may institute a civil action for” statutory or actual damages,  
9 injunctive or declaratory relief, and any other relief the court deems proper.

10 91. Plaintiff and the Class members are “consumer[s]” as defined by Civ.  
11 Code § 1798.140(g) because they are “natural person[s] who [are] California  
12 resident[s], as defined in Section 17014 of Title 18 of the California Code of  
13 Regulations, as that section read on September 1, 2017.”

14 92. Defendant is a “business” as defined by Civ. Code § 1798.140(c)  
15 because Defendant:

- 16 a) is a “sole proprietorship, partnership, limited liability company,  
17 corporation, association, or other legal entity that is organized or  
18 operated for the profit or financial benefit of its shareholders or  
19 other owners”;
- 20 b) “collects consumers’ personal information, or on the behalf of  
21 which is collected and that alone, or jointly with others,  
22 determines the purposes and means of the processing of  
23 consumers’ personal information”;
- 24 c) does business in and is headquartered in California; and
- 25 d) has annual gross revenues in excess of \$25 million; annually  
26 buys, receives for the business’ commercial purposes, sells or  
27 shares for commercial purposes, alone or in combination, the  
28 personal information of 50,000 or more consumers, households,

1 or devices; or derives 50 percent or more of its annual revenues  
2 from selling consumers' personal information.

3 93. The PII accessed and taken by unauthorized persons in the Data Breach  
4 is "personal information" as defined by Civil Code § 1798.81.5(d)(1)(A) because it  
5 contains Plaintiff's and other Class members' unencrypted names, mailing addresses,  
6 social security numbers and/or tax identification numbers, among other personal  
7 information.

8 94. Plaintiff's PII was subject to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft,  
9 or disclosure because their PII, including name, mailing address, social security  
10 number and/or tax identification number, at minimum, was wrongfully accessed,  
11 viewed, and/or taken by unauthorized persons in the Data Breach.

12 95. The Data Breach occurred as a result of Defendant's failure to  
13 implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to  
14 the nature of the information to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' PII. Defendant  
15 failed to implement reasonable security procedures to prevent an attack on its servers  
16 or systems by hackers and to prevent unauthorized access and exfiltration of  
17 Plaintiff's and Class members' PII as a result of the Data Breach.

18 96. On or about April 10, 2024, Plaintiff provided Defendant with written  
19 notice of its violations of the CCPA, pursuant to Civil Code § 1798.150(b)(1). If  
20 Defendant do not, or are unable to, cure the violation within 30 days, Plaintiff will  
21 amend their complaint to pursue statutory damages as permitted by Civil Code  
22 § 1798.150(a)(1)(A).

23 97. As a result of Defendant's failure to implement and maintain reasonable  
24 security procedures and practices that resulted in the Data Breach, Plaintiff,  
25 individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks actual damages, equitable relief,  
26 including public injunctive relief, and declaratory relief, and any other relief as  
27 deemed appropriate by the Court.

28

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”)  
Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*  
(On Behalf of the Plaintiff and the California Subclass)**

98. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

99. The UCL prohibits any “unlawful,” “fraudulent” or “unfair” business act or practice and any false or misleading advertising, as those terms are defined by the UCL and relevant case law. By virtue of the above-described wrongful actions, inaction, omissions, and want of ordinary care that directly and proximately caused the Data Breach, Defendant engaged in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent practices within the meaning, and in violation of, the UCL.

100. In the course of conducting its business, Defendant committed “unlawful” business practices by, *inter alia*, knowingly failing to design, adopt, implement, control, direct, oversee, manage, monitor and audit appropriate data security processes, controls, policies, procedures, protocols, and software and hardware systems to safeguard and protect Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII, and by violating the statutory and common law alleged herein, including, *inter alia*, California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1798.100, *et seq.*) and Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution (California’s constitutional right to privacy) and Civil Code § 1798.81.5. Plaintiff and Class members reserve the right to allege other violations of law by Defendant constituting other unlawful business acts or practices. Defendant’s above-described wrongful actions, inaction, omissions, and want of ordinary care are ongoing and continue to this date.

101. Defendant also violated the UCL by failing to promptly notify Plaintiff and Class members pursuant to Civil Code § 1798.82(a) regarding the unauthorized access and disclosure of their PII. If Plaintiff and Class members had been notified in an appropriate fashion, they could have taken precautions to better safeguard and protect their PII and identities.



1        102. Defendant’s above-described wrongful actions, inaction, omissions,  
2 want of ordinary care, misrepresentations, practices, and non-disclosures also  
3 constitute “unfair” business acts and practices in violation of the UCL in that  
4 Defendant’s wrongful conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends  
5 legislatively-declared public policy, and is immoral, unethical, oppressive, and  
6 unscrupulous. Defendant’s practices are also contrary to legislatively declared and  
7 public policies that seek to protect PII and ensure that entities who solicit or are  
8 entrusted with personal data utilize appropriate security measures, as reflected by  
9 laws such as the CCPA, Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution, and the  
10 FTC Act (15 U.S.C. § 45). The gravity of Defendant’s wrongful conduct outweighs  
11 any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct. There were reasonably available  
12 alternatives to further Defendant’s legitimate business interests other than engaging in  
13 the above-described wrongful conduct.

14        103. The UCL also prohibits any “fraudulent business act or practice.”  
15 Defendant’s above-described claims, nondisclosures and misleading statements were  
16 false, misleading, and likely to deceive the consuming public in violation of the UCL.

17        104. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant’s above-described  
18 wrongful actions, inaction, omissions, and want of ordinary care that directly and  
19 proximately caused the Data Breach and its violations of the UCL, Plaintiff and Class  
20 members have suffered (and will continue to suffer) economic damages and other  
21 injury and actual harm in the form of, *inter alia*, (i) an imminent, immediate and the  
22 continuing increased risk of identity theft and identity fraud – risks justifying  
23 expenditures for protective and remedial services for which they are entitled to  
24 compensation, (ii) invasion of privacy, (iii) breach of the confidentiality of their PII,  
25 (iv) statutory damages under the CCPA, (v) deprivation of the value of their PII for  
26 which there is a well-established national and international market, and/or (vi) the  
27 financial and temporal cost of monitoring their credit, monitoring financial accounts,  
28 and mitigating damages.



105. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendant will continue to engage in the above-described wrongful conduct and more data breaches will occur. Therefore, Plaintiff individually and on behalf of the Class members, and the general public, also seeks restitution and an injunction, including public injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing such wrongful conduct, and requiring Defendant to modify their corporate culture and design, adopt, implement, control, direct, oversee, manage, monitor and audit appropriate cybersecurity, data security practices, controls, policies, procedures protocols, and software and hardware systems to safeguard and protect the PII entrusted to it, as well as all other relief the Court deems appropriate, consistent with Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203.

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **Violation of the California Customer Records Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1798.80, *et seq.* (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the California Subclass)**

106. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

107. Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.81.5 provides that “[i]t is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that personal information about California residents is protected. To that end, the purpose of this section is to encourage businesses that own, license, or maintain personal information about Californians to provide reasonable security for that information.”

108. Section 1798.81.5(b) further states that: “[a] business that owns, licenses, or maintains personal information about a California resident shall implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information, to protect the personal information from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure.”

109. Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.84(b) provides that [a]ny customer injured by a violation of this title may institute a civil action to recover damages.” Section

1 1798.84(e) further provides that “[a]ny business that violates, proposes to violate, or  
2 has violated this title may be enjoined.”

3 110. Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass are “customers” within  
4 the meaning of Civ. Code § 1798.80(c) and 1798.84(b) because they are individuals  
5 who provided personal information to Defendant, directly and/or indirectly, for the  
6 purpose of obtaining a service from Defendant.

7 111. The PII of Plaintiff and the California Subclass at issue in this lawsuit  
8 constitutes “personal information” under § 1798.81.5(d)(1) in that the personal  
9 information Defendant collect and which was impacted by the Data Breach includes  
10 an individual’s name in combination with one or more of the following data elements,  
11 with either the name or the data elements not encrypted or redacted: (i) social security  
12 number; (ii) driver’s license number, California identification card number, tax  
13 identification number, passport number, military identification number, or other  
14 unique identification number issued on a government document commonly used to  
15 verify the identity of a specific individual; (iii) account number or credit or debit card  
16 number, in combination with any required security code, access code, or password  
17 that would permit access to an individual’s financial account; (iv) medical  
18 information; (v) health insurance information; (vi) unique biometric data generated  
19 from measurements or technical analysis of human body characteristics, such as a  
20 fingerprint, retina, or iris image, used to authenticate a specific individual.

21 112. Defendant knew or should have known that its computer systems and  
22 data security practices were inadequate to safeguard the California subclass’s  
23 personal information and that the risk of a data breach or theft was highly likely.  
24 Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and  
25 practices appropriate to the nature of the information to protect the personal  
26 information of Plaintiff and the California Subclass. Specifically, Defendant failed to  
27 implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to  
28 the nature of the information, to protect the personal information of Plaintiff and the

1 California Subclass from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or  
2 disclosure.

3 113. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of its duty, the  
4 unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure of the personal  
5 information of Plaintiff and the California Subclass included hackers' access to,  
6 removal, deletion, destruction, use, modification, disabling, disclosure and/or  
7 conversion of the personal information of Plaintiff and the California Subclass by the  
8 cyber attackers and/or additional unauthorized third parties to whom those  
9 cybercriminals sold and/or otherwise transmitted the information.

10 114. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant' acts or omissions,  
11 Plaintiff and the California Subclass were injured and lost money or property  
12 including, but not limited to, the loss of Plaintiff's and the California Subclass's  
13 legally protected interest in the confidentiality and privacy of their personal  
14 information, nominal damages, and additional losses described above. Plaintiff seeks  
15 compensatory damages as well as injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §  
16 1798.84(b).

17 115. As a direct consequence of the actions as identified above, Plaintiff and  
18 the California Subclass members incurred losses and suffered further harm to their  
19 privacy, including but not limited to economic loss, the loss of control over the use of  
20 their identity, increased stress, fear, and anxiety, harm to their constitutional right to  
21 privacy, lost time dedicated to the investigation of the Data Breach and effort to cure  
22 any resulting harm, the need for future expenses and time dedicated to the recovery  
23 and protection of further loss, and privacy injuries associated with having their  
24 sensitive personal information disseminated that they would not have otherwise  
25 incurred, and are entitled to recover compensatory damages according to proof  
26 pursuant to § 1798.84(b).

27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Negligence  
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

5       116. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding  
6 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

7       117. Defendant owed various duties to Plaintiff and the Class, including  
8 pursuant to the CCPA, as alleged in detail above. In addition to other duties,  
9 Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and other Class members in safeguarding the  
10 personal information entrusted to it by Plaintiff and the Class members. Defendant  
11 both owed duties to Plaintiff and the Class with regard to their manner of collection,  
12 transmission, sharing, and maintenance of Plaintiff's and the Class members'  
13 personal data, including PII, and were required to maintain reasonable security  
14 procedures and practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and the Class members personal  
15 information.

16       118. Defendant breached their respective duties by engaging in the conduct  
17 and omissions alleged above and in violation of the CCPA, UCL, and CRA, as well  
18 as each of their privacy policies as alleged above.

19       119. Defendant's duty to use reasonable security measures arose as a result of  
20 the special relationship that existed between Defendant and Plaintiff and Class  
21 members. That special relationship arose because Plaintiff and Class members  
22 entrusted Defendant with their confidential PII, a necessary part of obtaining services  
23 from Defendant, based on Defendant's assurances that the information would be  
24 protected by superior data security practices.

25       120. Defendant was in an exclusive position to protect against the harm  
26 suffered by Plaintiff and Class members as a result of the Data Breach.

27       121. Defendant has admitted that Plaintiff's and Class members' PII was  
28 wrongfully lost and disclosed to unauthorized third persons as a result of the Data

1 Breach.

2 122. Defendant was both the actual and legal cause of Plaintiff's and the  
3 Class members' damages.

4 123. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that as a proximate result of  
5 Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will continue to  
6 suffer actual damages, invasion and loss of privacy, emotional distress, and other  
7 economic and non-economic losses as described herein and above.

8 124. Due to the egregious violations alleged herein, Plaintiff asserts that  
9 Defendant breached their respective duties in an oppressive, malicious, despicable,  
10 gross, and wantonly negligent manner. Defendant's conscious disregard for  
11 Plaintiff's privacy rights entitles Plaintiff and the Class to recover punitive damages.

## 12 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 13 **Negligence *Per Se*** 14 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

15 125. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding  
16 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17 126. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting  
18 commerce" including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or  
19 practice by companies such as Defendant for failing to use reasonable measures to  
20 protect PII. Various FTC publications and orders also form the basis of Defendant's  
21 duty.

22 127. Defendant violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable  
23 measures to protect PII and failing to comply with industry standards. Defendant's  
24 conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII it obtained  
25 and stored and the foreseeable consequences of a data breach.

26 128. Defendant's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence  
27 *per se*.

28 129. Plaintiff and Class members are consumers within the class of persons

1 Section 5 of the FTC Act was intended to protect.

2 130. Moreover, the harm that has occurred is the type of harm that the FTC  
3 Act was intended to guard against. Indeed, the FTC has pursued over fifty  
4 enforcement actions against businesses which, as a result of their failure to employ  
5 reasonable data security measures and avoid unfair and deceptive practices, caused  
6 the same harm suffered by Plaintiff and Class members.

7 131. Additionally, Defendant has a duty to act reasonably in handling  
8 consumer data and to use reasonable data security measures arising under the  
9 Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act's implementing regulations, 16 C.F.R. § 314 (the  
10 "Safeguards Rule"), which "sets forth standards for developing, implementing, and  
11 maintaining reasonable administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to protect  
12 the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer information" and "applies to  
13 the handling of customer information by all financial institutions[.]" 16 C.F.R.  
14 § 314.1(a)-(b).

15 132. The Safeguards Rule "applies to all customer information in [a financial  
16 institution's] possession, regardless of whether such information pertains to  
17 individuals with whom [a financial institution has] a customer relationship, or  
18 pertains to the customers of other financial institutions that have provided such  
19 information to [the subject financial institution]." 16 C.F.R. § 314.1(b).

20 133. The Safeguards Rule requires financial institutions and entities who act  
21 on behalf of financial institutions to "develop, implement, and maintain a  
22 comprehensive information security program that is written in one or more readily  
23 accessible parts and contains administrative, technical, and physical safeguards that  
24 are appropriate to [the financial institution's] size and complexity, the nature and  
25 scope of [the financial institution's] activities, and the sensitivity of any customer  
26 information at issue." 16 C.F.R. § 314.3(a).

27 134. Specifically, the Safeguards Rule requires entities to:

28 (b) Identify reasonably foreseeable internal and external risks to  
the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer



information that could result in the unauthorized disclosure, misuse, alteration, destruction or other compromise of such information, and assess the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to control these risks. At a minimum, such a risk assessment should include consideration of risks in each relevant area of your operations, including:

(1) Employee training and management;

(2) Information systems, including network and software design, as well as information processing, storage, transmission and disposal; and

(3) Detecting, preventing and responding to attacks, intrusions, or other systems failures.

(c) Design and implement information safeguards to control the risks you identify through risk assessment, and regularly test or otherwise monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures.

\* \* \*

(e) Evaluate and adjust your information security program in light of the results of the testing and monitoring required by paragraph (c) of this section; any material changes to your operations or business arrangements; or any other circumstances that you know or have reason to know may have a material impact on your information security program.

16 C.F.R. § 314.4.

135. As alleged herein, Defendant breached its duties under the Safeguards Rule.

136. Defendant also has a duty under the California Constitution which contains a Right to Privacy clause, Article 1, Section 1, which states: "All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending . . . privacy."<sup>42</sup>

137. Defendant's failure to implement reasonable measures to secure consumers' PII violates the California Constitution and the FTC Act.

138. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and Class members have been injured as described herein and are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

<sup>42</sup> Calif. Const. Art. 1, § 1.

**SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Invasion of Privacy  
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

139. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

140. Plaintiff and Class Members had a legitimate expectation of privacy to their PII and were entitled to the protection of this information against disclosure to unauthorized third parties.

141. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to keep their PII confidential.

142. Defendant intentionally failed to protect and released to unknown and unauthorized third parties the non-redacted and non-encrypted PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

143. Defendant allowed unauthorized and unknown third parties access to and examination of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, by way of Defendant's failure to protect the PII.

144. The unauthorized release to, custody of, and examination by unauthorized third parties of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members is highly offensive to a reasonable person.

145. The intrusion was into a place or thing, which was private and is entitled to be private. Plaintiff and Class Members disclosed their PII to Defendant as part of their relationships with Defendant, but privately with an intention that the PII would be kept confidential and would be protected from unauthorized disclosure. Plaintiff and Class members were reasonable in their belief that such information would be kept private and would not be disclosed without their authorization.

146. The Data Breach at the hands of Defendant constitutes an intentional interference with Plaintiff's and Class members' interest in solitude or seclusion, either as to their persons or as to their private affairs or concerns, of a kind that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.



1        147. Defendant acted with intention and a knowing state of mind when it  
2 permitted the Data Breach to occur because it was with actual knowledge that its  
3 information security practices were inadequate and insufficient.

4        148. Because Defendant acted with this knowing state of mind, it had notice  
5 and knew its inadequate and insufficient information security practices would cause  
6 injury and harm to Plaintiff and Class members.

7        149. As a proximate result of the above acts and omissions of Defendant, PII  
8 of Plaintiff and Class members was disclosed to third parties without authorization,  
9 causing Plaintiff and Class members to suffer damages.

10        150. Unless and until enjoined and restrained by order of this Court,  
11 Defendant's wrongful conduct will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to  
12 Plaintiff and Class members in that the PII maintained by Defendant can be viewed,  
13 distributed, and used by unauthorized persons for years to come. Plaintiff and Class  
14 members have no adequate remedy at law for the injuries in that a judgment for  
15 monetary damages will not end the invasion of privacy for Plaintiff and Class  
16 members.

## 17        **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 18        **Breach of Implied Contract (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

19        151. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding  
20 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

21        152. Defendant required Plaintiff and Class members to provide their PII for  
22 them to obtain Defendant's services.

23        153. Defendant's Privacy Policy, advertising and marketing materials, and  
24 website representations made enforceable promises that Plaintiff's and Class  
25 members' PII would be kept secure and confidential, would be used only for  
26 legitimate purposes to serve Plaintiff and Class members, and would not be disclosed  
27 to unauthorized third parties.

28        154. Defendant promised to employ "a range of organizational and technical

1 security measures to protect your personal data, including:

- 2 • Restricted access to those who need to know for the purposes set
- 3 out in our underlying agreement or this Privacy Notice.
- 4 • Firewalls to block unauthorized traffic to servers.
- 5 • Physical servers located insecure location and accessible only by
- 6 authorized personnel.
- 7 • Internal procedures governing the storage, access and disclosure
- 8 of your personal data.
- 9 • Additional safeguards as may be required by applicable laws in
- 10 the jurisdiction where we process your personal data.”<sup>43</sup>

11 155. Defendant promised to retain Plaintiff’s and Class members’ information  
12 only for as long as their account is active, for as long as needed to provide services  
13 requested, or as long as needed to comply with legal obligations.

14 156. Plaintiff and Class Members only provided their PII because there was  
15 an implicit agreement that Defendant would secure and protect their PII from  
16 disclosure to any unauthorized third party, and to timely and accurately notify  
17 Plaintiff and Class members in the event of a Data Breach.

18 157. Plaintiff and Class members would not have provided their PII to  
19 Defendant had they known that Defendant would fail to perform its promises to  
20 safeguard and protect their PII and provide accurate and timely notice of the Data  
21 Breach.

22 158. Plaintiff and Class members fully performed their obligations under their  
23 implied contracts with Defendant.

24 159. Defendant breached the implied contracts by failing to safeguard  
25 Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII and by failing to provide them with timely and  
26 accurate notice of the Data Breach. More specifically, Defendant breached the  
27 implied contracts it made with Plaintiff and Class members by (i) failing to use  
28 commercially reasonable physical, managerial, and technical safeguards to preserve  
the integrity and security of Plaintiff’s and Class members’ PII, (ii) failing to encrypt

<sup>43</sup> <https://tristargroup.net/pdf/CCPA%20Privacy%20Policy%20Statement.pdf>

1 the PII in storage, (iii) failing to delete PII it no longer had a reasonable need to  
2 maintain, and (iv) otherwise failing to safeguard and protect their PII and by failing to  
3 provide timely and accurate notice to them that their PII was compromised as a result  
4 of the Data Breach.

5 160. Defendant was both the actual and legal cause of Plaintiff's and the  
6 Class members' damages.

7 161. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that as a proximate result of  
8 Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will continue to  
9 suffer actual damages, invasion and loss of privacy, emotional distress, and other  
10 economic and non-economic losses as described herein and above.

11 162. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach  
12 of implied contract, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to recover actual,  
13 consequential, and nominal damages.

#### 14 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### 15 **Breach of Contract** 16 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

17 163. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all proceeding  
18 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

19 164. Plaintiff and Class members entered into express and/or implied  
20 contracts with Defendant that included Defendant's promise to protect nonpublic  
21 personal information given to Defendant or that Defendant gathered on its own, from  
22 unauthorized disclosure.

23 165. Plaintiff and Class members performed their obligations under the  
24 contracts when they provided their PII to Defendant in connection with its products  
25 and/or services.

26 166. Defendant breached their contractual obligation to protect the nonpublic  
27 personal information Defendant gathered when Plaintiff's and the Class members'  
28 personal information was accessed and acquired by unauthorized third parties as part

of the Data Breach.

167. As a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class members have been harmed and have suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages and injuries.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, respectfully requests that (i) this action be certified as a class action, (ii) Plaintiff be designated a representative of the Class, (iii) Plaintiff's counsel be appointed as counsel for the Class. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, further requests that upon final trial or hearing, judgment be awarded against Defendant for:

- (i) actual and punitive damages to be determined by the trier of fact;
- (ii) equitable relief, including restitution;
- (iii) pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest legal rates applicable;
- (iv) appropriate injunctive relief;
- (v) attorneys' fees and litigation expenses under Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and other applicable law;
- (vi) costs of suit; and
- (vii) any such other and further relief the Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: April 10, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

**KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC**

By: /s/ Abbas Kazerounian

Abbas Kazerounian, Esq.

Mona Amini, Esq.

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*